





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/055,744	04/07/1998	CHARLES D. Y. SIA	1038-746-MIS	4350	
75	90 04/03/2002				
MICHAEL I STEWART			EXAMINER		
SIM AND MCBURNEY 330 UNIVERSITY AVENUE 6TH FLOOR			BUDENS, ROBERT D		
TORONTO, M5G1R7					
CANADA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1648		
			DATE MAILED: 04/03/2002	25	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

	EN INC DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ΓA	TORNEY DOCKET NO.
SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST WAINED ATTERDATE		
				•
			EX	AMINER
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·			25
		DAT	E MAILED:	

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

## **ADVISORY ACTION**

★ THE	PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
a) 🔀	or continues to run 3 mths from the date of the final rejection
o) 🗆	expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
App	ellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
to p	licant's response to the final rejection, filed $3-18-02$ has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed lace the application in condition for allowance:
1. 💢	The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
	a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
	b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
	c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
	d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
	e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
2. 🔲	NOTE: Monthments to claims 1/9   would vaise new issues and necessitate new of them is no support for "MHL" in claim 10. Language "corresponding takes in the claim 13   suggesting indefinite asis the language "another HTV-1 isolate in the form of a lipepertide." These would necessitate newly range of refeet or "would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
3. <b>YZ</b>	Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:
	Claims allowed:
	Claims objected to:
	Claims rejected:
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. 🗆	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because
5. 🔲	The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.
The	proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.
Ø0:I	er All outstanding rejections are maintained ROBERT D. BUDENS PRIMARY EXAMINER